Exhibit 2

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11021

Claimant: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documer	nts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fai the bui	il to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ding.
	nts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	erty. No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fai docume propert	I to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the ent, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the y.
22. Documer	nts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. ☑ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

10/14/2005 BMCID Rev3a